arXiv:1610.04675v1 [math.PR] 15 Oct 2016

On nodes of small degrees and degree profile in preferential dynamic attachment circuits

Panpan Zhang[1] **and Hosam M. Mahmoud**[2]

October 18, 2016

Abstract. We investigate the joint distribution of nodes of small degrees and the degree profile in preferential dynamic attachment circuits. In particular, we study the joint asymptotic distribution of the number of the nodes of outdegree 0 (terminal nodes) and outdegree 1 in a very large circuit. The expectation and variance of the number of those two types of nodes are both asymptotically linear with respect to the age of the circuit. We show that the numbers of nodes of outdegree 0 and 1 asymptotically follow a two-dimensional Gaussian law via multivariate martingale methods. We also study the exact distribution of the degree of a node, as the circuit ages, via a series of Pólya-Eggenberger urn models with "hiccups" in between. The exact expectation and variance of the degree of nodes are determined by recurrence methods. Phase transitions of these degrees are discussed briefly. This is an extension of the abstract [17].

AMS subject classifications.
Primary: 05C82, 90B15, Secondary: 60C05, 05C80, 60F05, 65Q30.

Key words. Complex network, random circuit, preferential attachment, stochastic recurrence, multivariate martingale, Pólya urn, degree profile.

1. INTRODUCTION

Networks are proliferating all around us. They appear in many forms, such as hardwired, amorphous cyber and virtual constructs, routes on navigation and trading maps, etc. There is need for models and analysis of networks. In this article, we take up a kind of network that has recently received attention, the *preferential attachment circuit*. These are circuits (networks) that grow with newcomers favoring to attach themselves to nodes of higher degrees in the circuit, a manifestation of two principles in social science [12]: "the rich get richer" and "success breeds success."

In this research, we discuss two properties in preferential attachment circuits: the joint distribution of the number of nodes of small degrees and the degree profile of a node as the circuit ages. Nodes of small degrees are usually special in random circuits. For example, the nodes of outdegree 0, known as *terminal nodes*, are the outputs in the circuits and have minimal outdegree (i.e., 0), where the nodes of outdegree 1 are the nodes having only one offspring. The study of the distribution of degrees (degree profile) in

[1]Department of Statistics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, U.S.A.
[2]Department of Statistics, The George Washington University, Washington, D.C. 20052, U.S.A.

random circuits has been a popular topic in recent research. Knowing the degree of a node can tell, for instance, how popular the node is in a social network, or how much demand there is on it in a routing network, which can help allocate the appropriate resources. In the context of circuits, the degree of a node determines the amount of electric current that flows through the node.

Related circuit models are in [16] (uniform choice of parents), in [10] (a uniform positional model), in [13] (a model without the consideration of the dynamical aspect in the present paper). We became inspired to study a dynamic model after reading [14] and [15]. The source [9] discusses several variants of these circuits and the conference paper [17] studies the distribution of terminal nodes.

2. Preferential Attachment Circuits

We consider a circuit model (with index $m \geq 1$) that grows in the following way. At the beginning of discrete time (time 0), there is an originator, which is a single isolated node labeled with 0. We shall refer to the nodes by their labels. So, the originator is node 0. At time $n \geq 1$, a new node n appears and attaches itself to $C_{n-1}^{(m)}$, the circuit existing at time $n-1$. The circuit $C_n^{(m)}$ grows from its ancestral shape $C_{n-1}^{(m)}$ in the previous time step $n-1$ by choosing $m \geq 1$ nodes from $C_{n-1}^{(m)}$ as *parents*. We call the sequence of nodes chosen as parents of node n the nth *sample*. The sample is taken with replacement. Each of the m parents in the nth sample is joined by an edge to node n. The choice of the parents is not uniform and the probabilities are dynamic during the construction of a sample. The choice rather depends on the degrees of the nodes present in $C_{n-1}^{(m)}$. A parent node is chosen with probability associated with its degree in $C_{n-1}^{(m)}$ (more precisely, proportional to its outdegree plus one). Say the first of the m new edges joins an existing node j, of outdegree d, to node n, an event with probability proportional to $d+1$. Once the edge is constructed between nodes j and n, it changes the outdegree of node j to $d+1$, and the chance of node j to be chosen again to construct the second edge as parent of node n is now proportional to $d+2$. The second parent of node n, be it j or some other node, is joined to node n. The process continues in this fashion, adding new edges and accounting for the dynamic change in their degrees, reflected in the probabilities of choosing existing nodes as parents, till the mth member of the sample has been collected and all its members are joined to node n. At this point, we consider that node n has completed its parent selection. Once settled, parents of node n will not be changed.

As the sampling is with replacement, a node like $j < n$ can be chosen multiple times in the sample at step n. For example, m can be equal to five, and node j may appear three times in the sample of parents for node n. Hence, three new edges will appear joining the nodes j and n. The dynamic probabilities are quite sensitive to the order of the sequence of nodes in the

sample. For example, the probability of the event that the three choices of node j in the nth sample appear as first, second and third may not be the same as its being first, second and fifth. This is a critical element in our probability calculation, and the notion will be made precise later, as we shall derive these probabilities for all possible sequences of parent choices. As the model allocates higher probability to nodes of higher degrees, the nodes that recruited many children are more likely to attract more children than nodes with few children; the model rightly deserves to be described with attributes like "the rich get richer" and "success breeds success."

Figure 1 illustrates the growth of a preferential (dynamic) attachment circuit with $m = 3$ during the first two insertions of nodes 1 and 2, showing the dynamical changes (edge addition) within each sampling step. From left to right, the probabilities of this particular stochastic path of these networks (conditioning on the previous evolution) are 1, 1, 1, 1, $1/5$, $4/6$, $2/7$.



FIGURE 1. The evolution of a preferential attachment circuit of index 3 in two steps.

In the special case $m = 1$, the circuit is a tree known in the literature as the *plane-oriented recursive tree* (PORT), introduced in [11], and its derivatives have received quite a bit of attention in recent years [2, 3, 4, 5].

It aids the analysis to consider *extended circuits*, where each node is supplemented with *external nodes* that appear in the "gaps" between the edges emanating out of a node. We think of the insertion position to the left (right) of all the edges out of a node as a virtual gap, too. Figure 2 shows the circuits of Figure 1 after they have been extended. In Figure 2, the external nodes are shown as squares, some empty and some filled with different colors. This is a color code that will be explained in the sequel.

After each node insertion, we add $(m+1)$ external nodes to the extended circuit, of which m are adjoined to existing nodes, and one is added as a child to the newly inserted node. Therefore, after n node insertions, we have a total of

$$\tau_n = (m+1)n + 1 \tag{1}$$

external nodes.



FIGURE 2. The evolution of an extended preferential attachment circuit of index 3 in two steps under a color code.

3. ORGANIZATION

The rest of this manuscript is organized as follows. In Section 4, we discuss the number of nodes of small degrees. The first paragraph of the section introduces a color code of external nodes into white, blue and red. The section is divided into two subsections. Subsection 4.1 deals with the moments of nodes of outdegree 0 and 1. In Subsection 4.2, the multivariate martingale underlying nodes of outdegree 0 and 1 is uncovered and used to establish a bivariate central limit theorem for these nodes. We conclude in Section 5 by looking at the degree of a specified node as the circuit ages. We find the exact distribution of that degree via a series of Pólya-Eggenberger urns with "hiccups" in between. The section is also divided into two subsections. In Subsection 5.1, we say a quick word about Pólya-Eggenberger urns and the property of exchangeability for an application in the next section. We then in Subsection 5.2 carry out the computations for the exact probability distribution of the degree. However, that distribution is unwieldy for moment calculations, so we resort to stochastic recurrence to find the exact mean and variance of the degree. Upon inspecting the average degrees of specific nodes, we realize that they experience phases through the aging process. These phases are discussed briefly at the end of the paper.

4. NODES OF SMALL OUTDEGREES

In this section, we determine the exact first two moments of the number of nodes of the two smallest outdegrees (i.e., 0 and 1). We establish a hierarchical recurrence system to calculate these moments. Later, we conduct an analysis for the asymptotic joint distribution of the number of nodes of outdegrees 0 and 1 via multivariate martingale formulations. Higher moments can be calculated from similar consideration. However, the calculations become significantly harder, a manifestation of a phenomenon known as the combinatorial explosion. In principle, the method can be generalized to find the joint distribution of the number of nodes of outdegrees $0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Let $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$ be the number of nodes of outdegree 0 and outdegree 1

of a preferential attachment circuit with index m at time n, respectively. To study the joint distribution of $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$, we rely on external nodes. In addition, we distinguish the external nodes by colors: We color the external nodes emanating from the nodes of outdegree 0, outdegree 1, and outdegree greater than 1 with white, blue, and red, respectively; see Figure 2 for an illustration. Furthermore, we respectively denote the number of white and blue external nodes in the circuit at time n by W_n, and B_n. The relations between the random variables of interest and the number of white and blue external nodes are

$$Y_n^{(0)} = W_n, \qquad Y_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\, B_n. \tag{2}$$

Extension to include nodes of higher outdegrees requires more colors.

4.1. **Hierarchical recurrences.** Let $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}$, $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{n-1,s}$ be the number of white, blue, and red external nodes at step s ($0 \le s \le m$) in the nth sample, respectively, while the circuit still has $n-1$ internal nodes. The network evolves and certain dynamics take place while sampling parents for node n. The sampling goes in m steps. If a node of outdegee 0 (with one white external node attached to it) is selected at step s of the sampling as parent of the nth node, one edge is constructed to link it to node n, the outdegree of the parent node will be upgraded to 1, with two gaps for blue external nodes (one to the left of the new edge and another one to its right). The net result is that the white external node is replaced by two blue external nodes. If, instead, a node of outdegree 1 (with two blue external nodes attached to it) is selected at step s, its outdegree goes up to 2 (defining three gaps); these two blue external nodes will be replaced by three red external nodes. The dynamics of red external nodes completely depend on the evolution of white and blue external nodes in the sample. All these dynamics are reflected in the equations:

$$\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s} = \mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1} - \mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s}, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} = \mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1} + 2\mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s} - 2\mathbb{I}^B_{n-1,s}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s}$ indicates the event that a white external node is selected at the sth step in the nth sample, while the circuit still has $n-1$ internal nodes. The essence of the definition carries over to $\mathbb{I}^B_{n-1,s}$, the indicator of picking a blue external node at the sth step in the nth sample.

Proposition 1. *Let $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$ be the number nodes of outdegree 0 and 1 in a preferential attachment circuit with index $m \ge 2$ after n insertions. For $n \ge 1$, we have*[3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^{(0)}] \\ \mathbb{E}[Y_n^{(1)}] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(m+1)n+m}{2m+1} \\ \frac{(m+1)(n-1)\Big((2m^2+2m)n+2m^2+m+1\Big)}{2(3m+1)(2m+1)\Big((m+1)n-1\Big)} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m+1}{2m+1} \\ \frac{m(m+1)}{(3m+1)(2m+1)} \end{pmatrix} n.$$

[3]The asymptotic notation here is meant to be component-wise, as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. We are able to determine the first moment of $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}$ by solely solving the recurrence equation (3). Let $\mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-1}$ be the σ-field generated by the network growth after $n-1$ node insertions and up until the first $s-1$ steps of sampling parents for the nth node, for $1 \le s \le m$. We take the conditional expectation (conditioning on $\mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-1}$) to obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-1}] &= \mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}^{W}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-1}] \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1} - \frac{\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}}{\tau_{n-1}+s-1} \\ &= \frac{(m+1)(n-1)+s-1}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}\,\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking another conditional expectation (on $\mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-2}$) , and using the tower property (see Theorem 34.4 on page 448 in [1]), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,s-2}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)+s-2}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}\,\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-2}.$$

Iterating all the way back to the conditions right before the nth sample is collected, where $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,0} = W_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)W_{n-1}}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}.$$

Similarly, we are able to construct a recurrence for $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$ with the solution

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \begin{cases} \frac{(mn-m+n-2)B_{n-1}+2W_{n-1}}{mn-m+n}, & s=1; \\ \frac{(m+1)(n-1)\big((mn-m+n-2)B_{n-1}+2sW_{n-1}\big)}{(mn-m+n+s-2)(mn-m+n+s-1)}, & 2 \le s \le m. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

For the random variables of interest, W_n and B_n, conditioning on $\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] + 1, \\ \mathbb{E}[B_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}].\end{aligned}$$

In the first recurrence, we have an additional 1 on the right-hand side. The reason is that when a sample is collected, a new node is added to the network and the newcomer automatically generates an additional white external node. We dub this phenomenon a "hiccup," which will be discussed in detail in Section 5. Plugging the results for $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$ in the recurrences, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)W_{n-1}}{(m+1)(n-1)+m} + 1, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[B_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)\big((mn-m+n-2)B_{n-1}+2mW_{n-1}\big)}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)}. \tag{7}$$

We solve the equations recursively and simultaneously under proper initial conditions, and translate the solutions back to $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$. We get the stated result for $m \geq 2$. □

Remark 1. *In a preferential attachment circuit with index $m = 1$ (i.e., a PORT), the first moments of W_n and B_n are a little different from the expressions in Proposition 1. Display (5) explains the disparity. When $m \geq 2$, the case $s = 1$ is only a transient state in the sample collection, and the circuit comes out of it by the time $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m}$ is needed; the bottom line in the display is used. By contrast, in the case $m = 1$, at the end of the sampling, $s = m = 1$, and when the sample is collected, the circuit did not come out of the state $s = 1$; the bottom line in the display is used.*

When we translate the first moments of W_n and B_n in a PORT back to $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$, we get for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^{(0)}] \\ \mathbb{E}[Y_n^{(1)}] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2n+1}{3} \\ \frac{n^2+2}{3(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/6 \end{pmatrix} n.$$

This recovers the results of Theorem 2 and Corollary 4 in [11].

For calculation of higher moments, we appeal to the recurrence relations (3) and (4). We raise them to appropriate powers. In this manuscript, we only present the calculations for the second moments for the random variables W_n and B_n, for $m \geq 2$. Higher moments can be obtained via a similar approach. Moments of these variables in a random PORT can also be calculated by these methods, while observing, as already noted, that the boundary conditions for the PORT ($m = 1$) are slightly different from those for $m \geq 1$.

Towards second moment calculation, we start with squaring the recurrence relations (3) and (4), leading to

$$\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}^2 = \mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}^2 - 2\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W + \mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1}^2 &+ 4\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W + 4\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1+s}^B \\ &+ 4\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W - 4\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^B. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The term $-8\,\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W \mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^B$ in (9) vanishes, because $\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^W$ and $\mathbb{I}_{n-1,s}^B$ indicate mutually exclusive events. We are able to calculate the second moment of $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}$ based only on the recurrence relation (8). To calculate the second moment of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}$, we first need to find the conditional first mixed moment of $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}$, i.e., we need to find $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$. Details are presented in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,m}$ be the number of white and blue external nodes at step m in the nth sample of a preferential attachment*

circuit with index m. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}^2_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] \\
&\qquad = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)\big((mn-m+n-2)W_{n-1}+m\big)W_{n-1}}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)}, \\
&\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}^2_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{3(mn+n-4)(mn+n-3)(mn+n-2)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \frac{1}{(mn+n-1)}(\mathcal{C}_1 W^2_{n-1} + \mathcal{C}_2 W_{n-1}B_{n-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathcal{C}_3 B^2_{n-1} + \mathcal{C}_4 W_{n-1} + \mathcal{C}_5 B_{n-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, $\mathcal{C}_4$, and $\mathcal{C}_5$ are constants[4] *depending on m and n.*

Proof. We iterate the recurrence equation (8) back to the initial condition $\mathcal{W}^2_{n-1,0} = W^2_{n-1}$, given $\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}$, and obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}^2_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{(m+1)(n-1)\big((mn-m+n-2)W_{n-1}+s\big)W_{n-1}}{(mn-m+n+s-2)(mn-m+n+s-1)},$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq m$. Accordingly, we get $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}^2_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$, as stated in the proposition. On the other hand, we take the expectation of both sides of (9) and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}^2_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \left(1 - \frac{4}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}\right)\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}^2_{n-1,s-1} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] \\
&\quad + \frac{4\,\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]}{(m+1)(n-1)+s} + \frac{4\,\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]}{(m+1)(n-1)+s} \\
&\quad + \frac{4\,\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the right-hand side of the latter equation, the first term forms the recurrence for $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}^2_{n-1,s} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$, and the second and third terms have been obtained in previous calculations, whereas the last term remains unknown. We cross-multiply recurrence equations (3) and (4) and get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s} = \mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1} - \mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s} + 2W_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s} \\
- 2\,\mathbb{I}^W_{n-1,s} - 2\,\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathbb{I}^B_{n-1,s}.
\end{aligned}$$

[4] For brevity here, we relegate the presentation of these coefficients to Appendix 6.1.

Taking expectations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \left(1-\frac{3}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}\right)\\ \times\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s-1}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]\\ +2\,\frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}^2\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}\\ -2\,\frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]}{(m+1)(n-1)+s}.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the results of $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}^2\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s-1}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$, we iterate the recurrence equation back to the initial condition $\mathcal{W}_{n-1,0}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,0} = W_{n-1}B_{n-1}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,s}\,\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]\\ &\quad=\frac{(m+1)(n-1)(mn-m+n-2)W_{n-1}}{(mn-m+n+s-3)(mn-m+n+s-2)}\\ &\qquad\times\frac{1}{(mn-m+n+s-1)}\big((mn-m+n-3)B_{n-1}\\ &\qquad\quad+2sW_{n-1}-2s\big).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we are able to solve the recurrence equation for $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,s}^2\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$. The exact solution is presented in Appendix 6.1. □

Proposition 3. *Let W_n and B_n be the number of white and blue external nodes in a preferential attachment circuit with index $m \geq 2$ after n insertions. For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_n^2] &= \frac{(m+1)^3n^3}{(mn+n-1)(2m+1)^2}+\frac{1}{(mn+n-1)(2m+1)^2(3m+1)}\\ &\quad\times\big((8m^2-m-1)(m+1)^2n^2+m(m+1)(3m^2-6m-1)n\\ &\qquad-m(2m^3+6m^2+3m+1)\big),\\ \mathbb{E}[B_n^2] &= \frac{4m^2(m+1)^2}{(3m+1)^2(2m+1)^2}\,n^2+\frac{96m^4+138m^3+83m^2+18m+1}{(5m+1)(4m+1)(3m+1)^2}\\ &\quad\times\frac{4(m+1)m}{(2m+1)^2}\,n+O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We calculate the second moment of W_n by squaring both sides of the almost-sure relation $W_n = \mathcal{W}_{n-1,m}$, and taking the expectation on both

sides; that is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_n^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbb{E}\big[(\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0} + 1)^2\big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m}^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] + 2\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] + 1 \\ &= \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)}\big((mn-m+n-2)W_{n-1}^2 \\ &\qquad + (2mn+m+2n-4)W_{n-1}\big) + 1.\end{aligned}$$

We take another expectation and receive the recurrence for $\mathbb{E}[W_n^2]$. We solve it with initial condition $\mathbb{E}[W_0^2] = 1$, and we obtain the stated result.

We have a similar argument for $\mathbb{E}[B_n^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[B_n^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}_{n-1,m}^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] \\ &= \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{3(mn+n-4)(mn+n-3)(mn+n-2)} \\ &\qquad \times \frac{1}{(mn+n-1)}(\mathcal{C}_1 W_{n-1}^2 + \mathcal{C}_2 W_{n-1}B_{n-1} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \mathcal{C}_3 B_{n-1}^2 + \mathcal{C}_4 W_{n-1} + \mathcal{C}_5 B_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

We need to calculate $\mathbb{E}[W_n B_n]$ before we are able to obtain a clear recurrence equation for $\mathbb{E}[B_n^2]$. We multiply the two almost-sure equations

$$W_n = \mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_n = \mathcal{B}_{n-1,m},$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_n B_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{W}_{n-1,m} + 1)\mathcal{B}_{n-1,m} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] \\ &= \frac{(m+1)(n-1)(mn-m+n-2)W_{n-1}}{(mn+n-3)(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)} \\ &\qquad \times \big((mn-m+n-3)B_{n-1} + 2mW_{n-1} - 2m\big) \\ &\qquad + \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \big((mn-m+n-2)B_{n-1} + 2mW_{n-1}\big).\end{aligned}$$

We take another expectation on both sides and solve the recurrence equation for $\mathbb{E}[W_n B_n]$ with initial condition $\mathbb{E}[W_0 B_0] = 0$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W_n B_n] = \frac{(n-1)}{(4m+1)(3m+1)(2m+1)^2(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)} \\ \times \big(2m(4m+1)(m+1)^4 n^3 \\ + (8m^3 - 16m^2 + m + 1)(m+1)^3 n^2 \\ - (22m^3 + 3m^2 + 13m + 2)(m+1)^2 n \\ - 2m(m+1)(6m^3 + 5m^2 + 3m - 2)\big).\end{aligned}$$

We plug $\mathbb{E}[W_n B_n]$ back into the equation and obtain a clean recurrence equation for $\mathbb{E}[B_n^2]$. With the the initial condition $\mathbb{E}[B_0^2] = 0$, we get the

second moment of B_n. An asymptotic approximation is stated in the proposition, while the exact solution is provided in Appendix 6.2. □

According to Equation (2), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$ be the number of nodes of outdegree 0 and 1 in a preferential attachment circuit with index $m \geq 2$ after n insertions. For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}(Y_n^{(0)}, Y_n^{(1)}) \to \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2m^2(m+1)}{(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} & -\frac{4(m+1)^2m^2}{(4m+1)(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} \\ -\frac{4(m+1)^2m^2}{(4m+1)(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} & \frac{2m^2(m+1)(48m^3+59m^2+27m+4)}{(5m+1)(4m+1)(3m+1)^2(2m+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.2. **Asymptotic joint distribution of the number of nodes of outdegrees 0 and 1.** In this section, we employ the multivariate martingale central limit theorem to study the asymptotic joint distribution of the number of nodes with outdegree 0 and 1. Different versions of multivariate martingale central limit theorem have been well developed and used to study Pólya urn schemes and stochastic processes; see for example [6, 7]. For the reader's convenience, we provide a handy reference in the following statement.

Theorem 1 (Multivariate martingale central limit theorem)**.** *Let $\{\mathbf{M}_n, \mathbb{G}_n\}$ be a zero-mean, square-integrable martingale array with differences $\mathbf{X}_n$. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_j^\top \mathbf{X}_j \mathbb{I}_{\{||\mathbf{X}_j||_2 > \varepsilon\}} \,|\, \mathbb{G}_{j-1}\right] \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{X}_j^\top \,|\, \mathbb{G}_{j-1}\right] \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{\Sigma},$$

then

$$\mathbf{M}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_j \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}),$$

where $\top$ represents the transpose of a matrix, and $||\cdot||_2$ is the 2-norm of a matrix, and $\mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ is a k–dimensional normal vector with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.

The first in-probability convergence in Theorem 1 is known as the *conditional Lindeberg's condition*; whereas the second in-probability convergence is called the *conditional variance condition*.

According to the recurrence relations (6) and (7), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\begin{pmatrix} W_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{mn+n-1} & 0 \\ \frac{2m(m+1)(n-1)}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)} & \frac{(m+1)(n-1)(mn-m+n-2)}{(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} W_{n-1} \\ B_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $\binom{W_n}{B_n}$ is not a martingale array. To compactify the notation, let us call the coefficient matrix above $\mathbf{A}_n$. We manually construct a martingale structure for $\binom{W_n}{B_n}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.

$$\mathbf{M}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)} & 0 \\ \frac{2\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)} - \frac{2(mn+n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n-1}{m+1}\right)}{m\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} & \frac{(mn+n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n-1}{m+1}\right)}{m\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} W_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n+1}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} + 1 \\ \frac{(m+1)(2mn+m+2n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n}{m+1}\right)}{m(3m+1)\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} - \frac{2\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n+1}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a martingale array for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. We apply a linear transformation to $\mathbf{R}_n = \binom{W_n}{B_n}$, such that $\mathbf{M}_n = \mathbf{P}_n\mathbf{R}_n + \mathbf{Q}_n$ is a martingale array. By the fundamental property of martingales, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{M}_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] &= \mathbf{P}_n \mathbb{E}\left[\binom{W_n}{B_n} \,\middle|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0} \right] + \mathbf{Q}_n \\ &= \mathbf{P}_n \left(\mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{R}_{n-1} + \binom{1}{0} \right) + \mathbf{Q}_n \\ &= \mathbf{M}_{n-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{n-1}\mathbf{R}_{n-1} + \mathbf{Q}_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 2$. We equate the coefficients of $\mathbf{R}_{n-1}$ on the second and fourth lines of the chain of equalities and get for $\mathbf{P}_n$ the recursive relation

$$\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{P}_{n-1}\mathbf{A}_n^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_{n-2}\mathbf{A}_{n-1}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_n^{-1} = \cdots = \mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{A}_2^{-1}\cdots\mathbf{A}_n^{-1},$$

for an arbitrary choice of $\mathbf{P}_1$. Without loss of generality, let $\mathbf{P}_1$ be the identity matrix. We calculate the product of $\mathbf{A}_n^{-1}$ by applying Jordan normal form to each term, which results in

$$\mathbf{A}_n^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{mn+n-1}{mn-m+n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(mn+n-1)(mn+n-2)}{(n-1)(m+1)(mn-m+n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Thus, we get a solution:

$$\mathbf{P}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)} & 0 \\ \frac{2\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)} - \frac{2(mn+n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n-1}{m+1}\right)}{m\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} & \frac{(mn+n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+m+n-1}{m+1}\right)}{m\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we equate the free terms and find

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = \mathbf{Q}_{n-1} - \mathbf{P}_n \binom{1}{0} = \mathbf{Q}_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n} \mathbf{P}_j \binom{1}{0},$$

for arbitrary choice of $\mathbf{Q}_1$. Let $\mathbf{Q}_1$ be the 2×2 zero matrix; then we arrive at

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n+1}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} + 1 \\ \frac{(m+1)(2mn+m+2n-1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n}{m+1}\right)}{m(3m+1)\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} - \frac{2\,\Gamma\left(\frac{mn+2m+n+1}{m+1}\right)}{\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Note that $\mathbf{M}_j - \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ are centered bivariate martingales. Let us take

$$\mathbf{K}_n = \begin{pmatrix} n^{-\frac{3m+1}{2(m+1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & n^{-\frac{5m+1}{2(m+1)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The martingale differences are

$$\mathbf{X}_j = \mathbf{M}_j - \mathbf{M}_{j-1}.$$

So, $\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{M}_j$ are centered martingales, and $\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j$ are martingale differences, too.

Next, let us verify the conditional Lindeberg's condition and compute the covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ stated in Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)^\top(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)\mathbb{I}_{\{||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j||_2>\varepsilon\}} \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Proof. Use the fact that

$$|W_j - W_{j-1}| \le m-1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad |B_j - B_{j-1}| \le 2m,$$

to find

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j||_2 &\le ||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{M}_j - \mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{M}_{j-1}||_2 \\ &= ||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{P}_j\mathbf{R}_j + \mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{Q}_j - (\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1}\mathbf{R}_{j-1} + \mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{Q}_{j-1})||_2 \\ &\le ||\mathbf{K}_n(\mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{P}_{j-1})\mathbf{R}_j||_2 + ||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{P}_{j-1}(\mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{R}_{j-1})||_2 \\ &\quad + ||\mathbf{K}_n(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_{j-1})||_2 \\ &= O\big(n^{-\frac{m}{m+1}}\big) + O\big(n^{-\frac{m}{m+1}}\big) + O\big(n^{-1}\big) \\ &\longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus, the events $\{||\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j||_2 > \varepsilon\}$ are empty for all j and $\varepsilon > 0$. The conditional Lindeberg's condition is verified. □

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)^\top \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{j-1,0}] \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{\Sigma},$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2m^2}{(3m+1)(m+1)\,\Gamma^2\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} & -\frac{12m(m+1)}{(3m+1)(4m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} \\ -\frac{12m(m+1)}{(3m+1)(4m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} & \frac{24(m+1)^3(7m+3)}{(3m+1)^2(5m+1)(2m+1)\,\Gamma^2\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Noticing that

$$(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)(\mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j)^\top = \mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{X}_j\mathbf{X}_j^\top\mathbf{K}_n^\top,$$

we first calculate the asymptotic values for the four entries in the covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Delta}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{X}_j\mathbf{X}_j^\top \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}\big]$ one by one:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Delta}_n(1,1) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{P}_j(1,1)W_j - \mathbf{P}_{j-1}(1,1)W_{j-1} + \mathbf{Q}_j(1,1) \\ &\qquad - \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}(1,1))^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{j-1,0}\big] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2m^2}{(m+1)^2\,\Gamma^2\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)}\big(j^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} + O\big(j^{\frac{2m-1}{m+1}}\big)\big) \\ &\sim \frac{2m^2}{(3m+1)(m+1)\,\Gamma^2\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)}\, n^{\frac{3m+1}{m+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The two entires on the antidiagonal of $\mathbf{\Delta}_n$ are the same, i.e., $\mathbf{\Delta}_{12}(n) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{21}(n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Delta}_n(1,2) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{P}_j(1,1)W_j - \mathbf{P}_{j-1}(1,1)W_{j-1} + \mathbf{Q}_j(1,1) - \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}(1,1)) \\ &\qquad \times (\mathbf{P}_j(2,2)B_j - \mathbf{P}_{j-1}(2,2)B_{j-1} + \mathbf{Q}_j(2,1) \\ &\qquad - \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}(2,1)) \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{j-1,0}\big] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n -\frac{12m}{(3m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)}\big(j^{\frac{3m}{m+1}} + O\big(j^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\big)\big) \\ &\sim -\frac{12m(m+1)}{(3m+1)(4m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)}\, n^{\frac{4m+1}{m+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Delta}_n(2,2) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{P}_j(2,1)W_j - \mathbf{P}_{j-1}(2,1)W_{j-1} + \mathbf{P}_j(2,2)B_j \\ &\qquad - \mathbf{P}_{j-1}(2,2)B_{j-1} + \mathbf{Q}_j(2,1) - \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}(2,1))^2 \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{j-1,0}\big] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{24(m+1)^2(7m+3)}{(3m+1)^2(2m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)}\big(j^{\frac{4m}{m+1}} + O\big(j^{\frac{3m}{m+1}}\big)\big) \\ &\sim \frac{24(m+1)^3(7m+3)}{(3m+1)^2(5m+1)(2m+1)\,\Gamma^2\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)}\, n^{\frac{5m+1}{m+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^{-\frac{3m+1}{2(m+1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & n^{-\frac{5m+1}{2(m+1)}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Delta}_n(1,1) & \mathbf{\Delta}_n(1,2) \\ \mathbf{\Delta}_n(2,1) & \mathbf{\Delta}_n(2,2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n^{-\frac{3m+1}{2(m+1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & n^{-\frac{5m+1}{2(m+1)}} \end{pmatrix}^\top \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{\Sigma},$$

which is a stronger convergence mode than the one stated in the theorem. □

Recall (2) relating the target random variables and the external nodes. We determine the asymptotic joint distribution of $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $Y_n^{(0)}$ and $Y_n^{(1)}$ respectively be the number of nodes of outdegree 0 and outdegree 1 of a preferential attachment circuit with index m at time n. For $m \geq 2$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} Y_n^{(0)} \\ Y_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m+1}{2m+1} \\ \frac{m(m+1)}{(3m+1)(2m+1)} \end{pmatrix} n \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}_2\left(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2m^2(m+1)}{(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} & -\frac{4(m+1)^2m^2}{(4m+1)(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} \\ -\frac{4(m+1)^2m^2}{(4m+1)(3m+1)(2m+1)^2} & \frac{2m^2(m+1)(48m^3+59m^2+27m+4)}{(5m+1)(4m+1)(3m+1)^2(2m+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By the multivariate martingale central limit theorem, we have

$$\mathbf{K}_n \left(\mathbf{M}_n - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}_2\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the covariance matrix given in Lemma 3. Use the asymptotics of $\mathbf{P}_n$ and $\mathbf{Q}_n$, and we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)} & 0 \\ -\frac{2(m+1)}{m\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} & \frac{m+1}{m\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_n^{(0)} \\ 2Y_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3m+2}{m+1}\right)} \\ \frac{2(m+1)^2}{m(3m+1)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)} \end{pmatrix} n \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

This is equivalent to the stated convergence in distribution. □

5. Degree Profile

In this section, we study the evolution of the degree of a node as the circuit ages. Let $D_{j,n}^{(m)}$, for $j = 0, \ldots n$, be the degree of node j in a preferential attachment circuit of index m and of age n. The random variables $D_{j,n}^{(m)}$, for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, describe a profile of degrees in the random circuit. We shall cast the results in terms of Pochhammer's symbol for the rising factorial, defined as follows:

$$\langle x \rangle_s = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+s-1),$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and any integer $s \geq 0$, with the interpretation that $\langle x \rangle_0 = 1$.

The growth of $D_{j,n}^{(m)}$, the degree of node j, can be monitored via a series to of $n-j$ different Pólya urns with adjustments (that we call "hiccups") in between. So, the Pólya urn is a fundamental tool in the study, and we say a quick word about it.

5.1. **Pólya urns.** A two-color *Pólya urn scheme* is an urn containing balls of up to two different colors (say white and blue for example). At each point of discrete time, we draw a ball from the urn at random, observe its color and put it back in the urn, then execute some ball addition rules represented by a 2×2 *replacement matrix*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

in which the rows from top to bottom are indexed by white and blue, and the columns from left to right are also indexed by white and blue; entry $a_{i,k}$ is the number of balls of color k that we add upon withdrawing a ball of color i. We refer readers to the text [8] for more details.

The special case of Pólya urns with the replacement matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

is called *Pólya-Eggenberger urn*, and a series of urns of this flavor will appear in the study of the degree profile. The Pólya-Eggenberger urn has been thoroughly studied and much is known about its exact and asymptotic behavior. The particular result we need is the following. Let B_n be the number of blue balls in a Pólya-Eggenberger urn starting with W_0 white balls and B_0 blue balls. With $W_0 + B_0 > 0$ (a nonempty starting condition), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n = k) = \binom{m}{k} \frac{\langle W_0 \rangle_{m-k} \langle B_0 \rangle_k}{\langle W_0 + B_0 \rangle_m};$$

see [8].

Pólya-Eggenberger urns enjoy an exchangeability property that is helpful to our analysis—the order of choosing white and blue balls in all m-long sequences does not matter in the least; all sequences that have the same number of white balls in them have the same probability. For example, the sequence $WWBWBW$ has the same probability as the sequence $BBWWWW$, where W's and B's stand for white and blue choices.

5.2. **The degree profile via Pólya urns.** Upon inserting the nth node (i.e., at time $n > j$), we allocate node n and choose m parent nodes (dynamically, one by one as discussed) for it. Each time node j appears in the dynamic sampling, its degree goes up by one; otherwise, its degree remains the same. The transition from the $(n-1)$st to the nth insertion coincides with the working of a two-color Pólya-Eggenbegrer urn scheme, as we shall shortly discuss. We would like to alert the reader to that we are not employing

one continual urn underlying the circuit growth process. Rather, for each transition to add a new node there is an underlying urn. Right after the insertion of node n in the circuit, we have used n different urns, and the circuit experiences "hiccups" in between, jolting the contents of an urn at an insertion to become the starting conditions for the next urn used in the next insertion. The notion of a hiccup will be made precise in the following paragraphs.

Let us color external nodes attached to node j with white, and color those attached to other nodes with blue. We reuse the notation W_n and B_n, but here they mean the number of white external nodes dangling out of node j after n insertions, and the number of blue balls dangling out of all the other nodes after n insertions. As the originator does not have parents, while every other node has m parents, we have the relation

$$D_{j,n}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} W_n - 1, & j = 0; \\ W_n - 1 + m, & j > 0. \end{cases}$$

For compactness, we write this double-decker expression in one line with the aid of Kronecker's delta $\delta_{\cdot,\cdot}$, so it takes the form

$$D_{j,n}^{(m)} = W_n - 1 + m(1 - \delta_{j,0}). \tag{10}$$

Consider the sample collected for inserting node n (at time $n > j$), which chooses m parents for the newcomer. At this point, the circuit has W_{n-1} white external nodes and B_{n-1} blue external nodes. When a white external node is selected, one white external node is added to the circuit; whereas when a blue external node is selected, one blue external node is adjoined to the circuit. This goes on for m steps. These additions are just like the dynamics of a standard Pólya-Eggenberger urn starting with W_{n-1} white balls and B_{n-1} blue balls and evolving in m draws (where one ball is sampled in each drawing), see [8].

And now comes the hiccup we alluded to. The urn after m ball additions (of either color) is not the right urn to model the next m parent selections, without an adjustment. At the end of the collection of the sample of the nth insertion (i.e., after all m parents are selected), the newly added node (i.e., node n) acquires one additional blue external node. So, the next urn that models the transition from the nth insertion to the $(n+1)$st insertion needs one extra blue ball than those at the end of adding m balls to the urn used in modeling step n.

Theorem 3. *Let $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ be the degree of node j of a preferential dynamic attachment circuit with index m at time n. For $n \geq j$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\big(D_{n,j}^{(m)} = d\big) = (d - m(1-\delta_{j,0}))! \sum_{\substack{b_1+\cdots+b_{n-j}=m(n-j+1-\delta_{j,0})-d \\ 0\leq b_1\leq \min\{m,(m+1)j\}}} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n-j} \binom{m}{b_r}\right) \times \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n-j}\langle (m+1)j + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} b_\ell + r - 1\rangle_{b_r}}{\prod_{r=0}^{n-j-1}\langle (m+1)(j+r)+1\rangle_m}.$$

Proof. We wait until node j appears in the circuit, then monitor the growth thereafter. Let X_n be the number of blue external nodes in the circuit (blue balls in the urn) that appear in the sample of inserting node n. This variable has the distribution of the number of blue balls in the Pólya-Eggenberger urn used in the nth node insertion. We note again, the urns for the different node insertions are different. For the insertion of node $j+1$, we have an urn starting with one white external node and $(m+1)j$ blue external nodes. Thus, for $0 \leq b_1 \leq \min\{m, (m+1)j\}$,[5] we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{j+1} = b_1) = \binom{m}{b_1} \frac{(m-b_1)!\,\langle (m+1)j\rangle_{b_1}}{\langle \tau_j\rangle_m}.$$

Right before inserting node $j+2$, one additional blue external node is added to node $j+1$ (the hiccup mentioned above). Therefore, conditioning on the event $X_{j+1} = b_1$, before inserting node $j+2$, we have $1+m-b_1$ white external nodes and $(m+1)j + b_1 + 1$ blue external nodes. According to the urn associated with this insertion, we compute

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{j+2} = b_2 \,|\, X_{j+1} = b_1) = \binom{m}{b_2} \frac{\langle m - b_1 + 1\rangle_{m-b_2}}{\langle \tau_{j+1}\rangle_m} \times \langle (m+1)j + b_1 + 1\rangle_{b_2}.$$

So, we have the joint distribution of the number of external nodes that appear in the first two insertions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(X_{j+1} = b_1, X_{j+2} = b_2) &= \mathbb{P}(X_{j+2} = b_2 \,|\, X_{j+1} = b_1)\,\mathbb{P}(X_{j+1} = b_1) \\
&= \binom{m}{b_1} \frac{(m-b_1)!\,\langle (m+1)j\rangle_{b_1}}{\langle \tau_j\rangle_m} \\
&\qquad \times \binom{m}{b_2} \frac{\langle m - b_1 + 1\rangle_{m-b_2}\langle (m+1)j + b_1 + 1\rangle_{b_2}}{\langle \tau_{j+1}\rangle_m} \\
&= \binom{m}{b_1}\binom{m}{b_2} \frac{(2m - b_1 - b_2)!\,\langle (m+1)j\rangle_{b_1}}{\langle \tau_j\rangle_m} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{\langle (m+1)j + b_1 + 1\rangle_{b_2}}{\langle \tau_{j+1}\rangle_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can get the joint distribution of $X_{j+1}, X_{j+2}, X_{j+3}$, by conditioning on $X_{j+1} = b_1$ and $X_{j+2} = b_2$, then uncondition via the joint distribution of

[5] This condition is only needed when $j = 0$, in which cases b_1 is 0.

X_{j+1}, X_{j+2}. We can continue in this fashion to get the joint distribution of $X_{j+1}, X_{j+2}, \ldots, X_n$. We establish

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{j+1} = b_1, \ldots, X_n = b_{n-j}) = \Big((n-j)m - \sum_{r=1}^{n-j} b_r\Big)! \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n-j} \binom{m}{b_r}\right) \times \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n-j} \langle (m+1)j + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} b_\ell + r - 1 \rangle_{b_r}}{\prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \langle \tau_s \rangle_m}.$$

The exact probability distribution of the number of blue external nodes (blue balls) at time n follows by summing the joint probabilities over every feasible tuple $(b_1, \ldots, b_{n-j})$ with nonnegative components adding up to $b_1 + \cdots + b_{n-j} + (m+1)j + (n-j)$, giving us:

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n = b) = ((m+1)n - b)! \sum_{b_1 + \cdots + b_{n-j} = b - mj - n} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n-j} \binom{m}{b_r}\right) \times \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n-j} \langle (m+1)j + \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} b_\ell + r - 1 \rangle_{b_r}}{\prod_{r=0}^{n-j-1} \langle (m+1)(j+r) + 1 \rangle_m}.$$

The theorem follows by calculating the exact probability distribution when $b = (m+1)n - d + m(1 - \delta_{j,0})$, which is equivalent to the probability of the event $W_n = d - m(1 - \delta_{j,0}) + 1$, and W_n translates into $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ by (10). $\square$

The distribution function of $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ is unwieldy. In general, it does not give good insight into the asymptotics associated with short and long term insertions in the circuit. However, we are able to investigate the exact distribution of the degree profile of some particular nodes from simple networks. For instance, when $m = 1$ and $j = 0$, the random variable $D_{n,0}^{(1)}$ represents the degree of the root of a PORT at time n. According to Theorem 3, we simplify the probability mass function, and arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}(D_{n,0}^{(1)} = d) = \frac{(2n - d - 1)!\, d}{(n-d)!\, (2n-1)!!\, 2^{n-d}}.$$

As it is not easy to use the exact distribution to do a calculation of the exact or asymptotic average or variance for larger node labels j or more complicated circuits (i.e., larger m), we resort to transparent stochastic recurrence techniques.

Consider W_n, the number of white external nodes at time n. According to the known results of Pólya-Eggenberger Urn schemes (see [8]), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{W_{n-1}}{\tau_{n-1}} m + W_{n-1}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_n \,|\, \mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}] = \frac{W_{n-1} B_{n-1} m (m + \tau_{n-1})}{\tau_{n-1}^2 (\tau_{n-1} + 1)}. \tag{12}$$

We want to point out that the total number of external nodes (i.e., τ_n) in the recurrences fixes the problem of one additional blue external node added to

the circuit after collecting the sample of parents (after the hiccup). Solving the recurrences, we obtain the exact expectation and variance of W_n as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ be the degree of node j from a preferential dynamic attachment circuit with index m at time n. For $n \geq j$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\,\Gamma\big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)}{\Gamma\big(n+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)\,\Gamma(j+1)} - 1 + m(1-\delta_{j,0}),\\
\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{((m+1)j+1)\,\Gamma^2\big(n+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)\,\Gamma^2(j+1)\,\Gamma\big(n+\frac{2}{m+1}\big)}\\
&\quad\times\Big\{2((m+1)n+1)\,\Gamma(j+1)\,\Gamma^2\Big(n+\frac{1}{m+1}\Big)\,\Gamma\Big(j+\frac{2}{m+1}\Big)\\
&\quad-((m+1)j+1)\Big[\Gamma(n+1)\,\Gamma\Big(n+\frac{2}{m+1}\Big)\,\Gamma^2\Big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\Big)\\
&\quad+\Gamma\Big(n+\frac{2}{m+1}\Big)\,\Gamma\Big(n+\frac{1}{m+1}\Big)\,\Gamma(j+1)\,\Gamma\Big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\Big)\Big]\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider the external nodes in preferential attachment circuits. We obtain the recurrence for $\mathbb{E}[W_n]$ by taking another expectation of (11). In addition to the initial condition $W_j \equiv 1$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n] = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\,\Gamma\big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)}{\Gamma\big(n+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)\,\Gamma(j+1)}.$$

According to the law of total variance (based on equations (11) and (12)), and the result of $\mathbb{E}[W_n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_n] &= \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_{n-1}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]\big] + \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big[\mathbb{E}[W_{n-1}\,|\,\mathbb{F}_{n-1,0}]\big]\\
&= \frac{((m+1)n+1)n(m+1)}{((m+1)n-m+1)((m+1)n-m)}\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_{n-1}]\\
&\quad-\frac{\Gamma\big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)\,\Gamma(n)-\Gamma(j+1)\,\Gamma\big(n-\frac{m}{m+1}\big)((m+1)n-m)}{((m+1)n-m)^2((m+1)n-m+1)\,\Gamma^2\big(n-\frac{m}{m+1}\big)\Gamma(j+1)^2}\\
&\quad\times mn(m+1)\,\Gamma\Big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\Big)\,\Gamma(n).
\end{aligned}$$

The exact variance of W_n is obtained by solving the variance recurrence above with the initial condition $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_j] = 0$.

Finally, both $\mathbb{E}[W_n]$ and $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}[W_n]$ translate to $\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big]$ and $\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big]$ via relation (10). □

Corollary 2. *As $n \to \infty$, the asymptotic expectation and variance of $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ are*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] \sim \frac{\Gamma\big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\, n^{\frac{m}{m+1}},$$

$$\mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] \sim \Big(\frac{2(m+1)\,\Gamma\big(j+\frac{2}{m+1}\big)}{((m+1)j+1)\,\Gamma(j+1)} - \frac{\Gamma^2\big(j+\frac{1}{m+1}\big)}{\Gamma^2(j+1)}\Big)\, n^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}.$$

Proof. The asymptotic expectation and variance of $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ are obtained by applying *Stirling's approximation* to the exact expectation and variance of $D_{n,j}^{(m)}$ in Theorem 4. □

We have an *exact* expression for the mean, and we can analyze it asymptotically for different regimes of j, whereupon we discover "phases changes." For $j = j_n$, $n \to \infty$, the degree is not asymptotically much different from the case of fixed j, though we can simplify the gamma functions involving j_n via Stirling's approximation. For such slowly growing node labels j_n (e.g., $j_n = \lfloor \sqrt{n} + 3 \rfloor$), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] \sim \Big(\frac{n}{j_n}\Big)^{\frac{m}{m+1}}.$$

If j_n grows with n in such a way that $j_n/n \to \theta$, with $0 < \theta \leq 1$ (e.g., $j_n = \lceil \frac{1}{6}n + 3\ln n \rceil$), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] \sim \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{m}{m+1}}} - 1 + m.$$

Note something special about the case $\theta = 1$, (e.g., $j_n = \lfloor n - 2\ln\ln n \rfloor$), where we get $\mathbb{E}\big[D_{n,j}^{(m)}\big] \sim m$. These very late arrivals join, and on average they do not recruit (outdegree 0). The only contribution to their degree is m (*indegree*), coming from the connections to the parents.

REFERENCES

[1] Billingsley, P. (1995). *Probability and Measure, Third Edition*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

[2] Drmota, M., Gittenberger, B. and Panholzer, A. (2008). The degree distribution of thickened trees. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*; *Proceedings of the Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science*, **Proceedings AI**, 149–162.

[3] Fuchs, M., Hwang, H.-K. and Neininger, R. (2006). Profile of random trees: Limit theorems for random recursive trees and binary search trees. *Algorithmica*, **46**, 367–407.

[4] Gopaladesikan, M., Mahmoud, H. and Ward, M. (2014). Asymptotic joint normality of counts of uncorrelated motifs in recursive trees. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, **16**, 863–884.

[5] Hwang, H.-K. (2007). Profiles of random trees: plane-oriented recursive trees. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **30**, 380–413.

[6] Kholfi, S. (2012). *On a class of zero-balanced urn models* (Doctoral dissertation). The George Washington University, Washington, D.C.

[7] Küchler, U. and Sørensen, M. (1998). A note on limit theorem for multivariate martingales. *Bernoulli*, **5**, 483–493.

[8] Mahmoud, H. (2008). *Pólya Urn Models*. Chapman-Hall, Orlando, Florida.

[9] Mahmoud, H. (2014). The degree profile in some classes of random graphs that generalize recursive trees. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, **16**, 643–673.

[10] Mahmoud, H. and Tsukiji, T. (2004). Limit laws for terminal nodes in random circuits with restricted fan-out: a family of graphs generalizing binary search trees. *Acta Informatica*, **41**, 99–110.

[11] Mahmoud, H., Smythe, R. and Szymański, J. (1993). On the structure of plane-oriented recursive trees and their branches. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **4**, 151–176.

[12] Merton, R. (1968). The Matthew effect in science. *Science*, **159**, 56–63.

[13] Moler, J., Plo, F. and Urmeneta, H. (2013). A generalized Pólya urn and limit laws for the number of outputs in a family of random circuits. *Test*, **22**, 46–61.

[14] Peköz, E., Röllin, A. and Ross, N. (2013). Degree asymptotics with rates for preferential attachment random graphs. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, **23**, 1188–1218.

[15] Ross, N. (2013). Power laws in preferential attachment graphs and Stein's method for the negative binomial distribution. *Advances in Applied Probability*, **45**, 876–893.

[16] Tsukiji, T. and Mahmoud, H. (2001). A limit law for outputs in random circuits. *Algorithmica*, **31**, 403–412.

[17] Zhang, P. (2016). On terminal nodes and the degree profile of preferential dynamic attachment circuits. *Proceedings of SIAM: Thirteenth Workshop on Analytic Algorithmics and Combinatorics (ANALCO 16)*, 80–92.

6. Appendix

6.1. **Coefficients in Proposition 2.**

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = 4m(m-1)(mn-m+n-2),$$
$$\mathcal{C}_2 = 4m(mn-m+n-3)(mn-m+n-2),$$
$$\mathcal{C}_3 = (mn-m+n-4)(mn-m+n-3)(mn-m+n-2),$$
$$\mathcal{C}_4 = 4m(m^2n^2-m^2n+2mn^2+m^2-7mn+n^2+m-6n+10),$$
$$\mathcal{C}_5 = 2m(mn-m+n-2)(2mn-m+2n-7).$$

6.2. **Exact moment of $\mathbb{E}[B_n^2]$ in Proposition 3.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[B_n^2] = \frac{n-1}{(mn+n-3)(mn+n-2)(mn+n-1)}\Bigg(&\frac{4m^2(m+1)^5n^4}{(3m+1)^2(2m+1)^2}\\
&+\frac{(m+1)^3}{(5m+1)(4m+1)(3m+1)^2(2m+1)^2}\\
&\quad\times\big(4(m+1)m(116m^4+47m^3+39m^2+13m+1)n^3\\
&\qquad+(304m^6-1772m^5-1836m^4-1043m^3\\
&\qquad\quad-173m^2+7m+1)n^2\big)\\
&-\frac{(m+1)}{(5m+1)(4m+1)(3m+1)^2(3m-1)(2m+1)^2}\\
&\quad\times\big((m+1)(528m^8+6100m^7+156m^6+261m^5\\
&\qquad+1731m^4+1594m^3+86m^2-83m-5)n\\
&\qquad+2(144m^9-300m^8-5182m^7-7839m^6\\
&\qquad\quad-6918m^5-3483m^4-828m^3+99m^2\\
&\qquad\quad+58m+3)\big).
\end{aligned}$$